Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,919	ORAN, DAVID P.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Creighton H. Smith	2614
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Creighton H. Smith</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Suzanne Lucas</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 19 APR '07.		·
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-28</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant called examiner to state that there were 28 claims in the application and not 1 claim as indicated on the notice of allowance. examiner indicated that a supplemental notice of allowability would be forthcoming.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims		
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPLICANT IS ODAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	•	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Deys h	Lu Smet
in the contract organism to the difference of the difference		

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required